arXiv:math/0409213v1 [math.DS] 13 Sep 2004

GENERALIZATION OF THE SECOND BOGOLYUBOV'S THEOREM FOR NON-ALMOST PERIODIC SYSTEMS

DAVID N. CHEBAN, JINQIAO DUAN, AND ANATOLY GHERCO

Abstract. **Nonlinear Analysis B, 4(2003), 599-613.**

The article is devoted to the generalization of the second Bogolyubov's theorem to non-almost periodic dynamical systems. We prove the analog of the second Bogolyubov's theorem for recurrent or pseudo recurrent dynamical systems in Banach spaces. Namely, we obtain the relation between a recurrent dynamical system and its averaged dynamical system. We also study existence of recurrent and pseudo recurrent motions (including special cases of periodic, quasi-periodic and almost periodic motions) in related nonautonomous systems.

1. Introduction

The problem of averaging in time is well-studied for almost periodic systems in Banach spaces. A well-known result in this direction is the second Bogolyubov's theorem (see for example [1, 2]) which affirms that the equation

$$\dot{x} = \varepsilon f(t,x) \tag{1}$$

with almost periodic function f for sufficient small ε admits a unique almost periodic solution in the neighborhood of hyperbolic stationary point x_0 of the "averaged" equation

$$\dot{x} = \varepsilon f_0(x), \tag{2}$$

where

$$f_0(x) = \lim_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_t^{t+T} f(s,x)ds \tag{3}$$

and the limit (3) is uniformly with respect to (w.r.t.) $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The first Bogolyubov's theorem determines the closeness or nearness of the solutions on finite time intervals for the original equation (1) and the averaged equation (2). Note that periodic and quasi-periodic functions are special almost periodic functions.

In this paper, we generalize the second Bogolyubov's theorem for the equation (1) to the case when function f is recurrent or pseudo recurrent (see definitions in Sections 4 and 6).

Date: February 1, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary:34D20, 34D40, 34D45, 58F10, 58F12, 58F39; secondary: 35B35, 35B40.

Key words and phrases. Nonautonomous dynamical system, skew–product flow, recurrent and almost periodic solutions, uniform averaging principle.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we study the existence of invariant integral manifolds of quasilinear nonautonomous dynamical systems (Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6). Results in this section are used in the following sections.

Section 3 contains the main results about generalization of the second Bogolyubov's theorem for non-almost periodic systems (Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5).

In section 4 we give conditions of existence of recurrent solutions of nonautonomous equations in a standard form, if corresponding averaging equation admits a hyperbolic stationary point (Theorem 4.7).

Second 5 is devoted to study of the existence of invariant torus and quasi-periodic solutions of quasilinear equations on the torus (Theorem 5.2, Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 5.4).

In second 6 we discuss the existence of pseudo recurrent integral manifolds (Theorem 6.2).

2. Quasilinear nonautonomous dynamical systems

Let Ω be a compact metric space and $(\Omega,\mathbb{R},\sigma)$ be an autonomous dynamical system on Ω. Let E be a Banach space, and Y and W are two complete metric spaces. Denote $L(E)$ the space of all linear continuous operators on E and $C(Y,W)$ the space of all continuous functions $f: Y\to W$ endowed by compact-open topology, i.e., uniform convergence on compact subsets in Y. We use these notations for the rest of the paper. The results in this section will be used in later sections.

Consider the linear equation

$$\dot{x} = A(\omega t)x \quad (\omega\in\Omega,\ \omega t=\sigma(t,\omega)) \tag{4}$$

and the inhomogeneous equation

$$\dot{x} = A(\omega t)x + f(\omega t), \tag{5}$$

where $A\in C(\Omega, L(E))$ and $f\in C(\Omega,E)$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $U(t,\omega)$ be the operator of Cauchy (solution operator) of the linear equation (4). The equation (4) is called hyperbolic if there exist positive numbers $N,\ \nu>0$ and continuous projection $P\in C(\Omega,L(E))$ (i.e. $P^2(\omega)=P(\omega)$ for all $\omega\in\Omega$) such that*

1) *For all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\omega\in\Omega$, $U(t,\omega)P(\omega)=P(\omega t)U(t,\omega)$;*
2) *For all $t\ge\tau$ and $\omega\in\Omega$, $\|U(t,\omega)P(\omega)U^{-1}(\tau,\omega)\|\le N\exp(-\nu(t-\tau))$;*
3) *For all $t\le\tau$ and $\omega\in\Omega$, $\|U(t,\omega)Q(\omega)U^{-1}(\tau,\omega)\|\le N\exp(\nu(t-\tau))$, where $Q(\omega)=I-P(\omega)$).*

Definition 2.2. *The function $G:\mathbb{R}^2_*\times\Omega\to L(E)$ defined by*

$$G(t,\tau,\omega)=\begin{cases} U(t,\omega)P(\omega)U^{-1}(\tau,\omega) & \text{for } t>\tau\\ -U(t,\omega)Q(\omega)U^{-1}(\tau,\omega) & \text{for } t<\tau\end{cases} \tag{6}$$

is called the Green's function for hyperbolic linear equation (4), where $\mathbb{R}^2_*=\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}=\{(t,t)\mid t\in\mathbb{R}\}$ and P, Q are the projections from definition 2.1.

Remark 2.3. *The Green's function satisfies the following conditions* (*see* [2] *and* [3])*:*

1) *For every* $t \neq \tau$ *the function* $G(t,\tau,\omega)$ *is continuously differentiable and*
$$\frac{\partial G(t,\tau,\omega)}{\partial t} = A(\omega t)G(t,\tau,\omega) \quad (\omega \in \Omega).$$
2) $G(\tau+0,\tau,\omega) - G(\tau-0,\tau,\omega) = I$ $(\tau \in \mathbb{R},\ \omega \in \Omega)$.
3) $\|G(t,\tau,\omega)\| \leq N \exp\left(-\nu|t-\tau|\right)$ $(t,\tau \in \mathbb{R},\ \omega \in \Omega)$.
4) $G(0,\tau,\omega t) = G(t,t+\tau,\omega)$ $(t,\tau \in \mathbb{R},\ \tau \neq 0,\ \omega \in \Omega)$.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that the linear equation* (*4*) *is hyperbolic. Then for* $f \in C(\Omega,E)$*, the function* $\gamma(\omega)$ *defined by*

$$\gamma(\omega) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(0,\tau,\omega)f(\omega\tau)d\tau \quad (\omega \in \Omega) \tag{7}$$

is continuous, i.e., $\gamma \in C(\Omega,E)$*, and*

1) $\gamma(\omega t) = \varphi(t,\gamma(\omega),\omega)$ *holds for all* $\omega \in \Omega$ *and* $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$*, where* $\varphi(t,x,\omega)$ *is the unique solution of the corresponding inhomogeneous equation* (*5*) *with the initial condition* $\varphi(0,x,\omega) = x$*;*
2) $\|\gamma\| \leq \frac{2N}{\nu}\|f\|$*, where* $\|\gamma\| = \max\limits_{\omega\in\Omega}|\gamma(\omega)|$*.*

Proof. The proof of this assertion is obtained by slight modification of arguments from [3, Ch.III] and we omit the details. □

Let us consider the following quasilinear equation in Banach space E

$$\dot{x} = A(\omega t)x + f(\omega t) + F(\omega t,x), \tag{8}$$

where $A \in C(\Omega,L(E))$, $f \in C(\Omega,E)$ and $F \in C(\Omega\times E,E)$.

Theorem 2.5. *(Invariant integral manifold) Assume that there exist positive numbers* $L < L_0 := \frac{\nu}{2N}$ *and* $r < r_0 := \gamma_0(\frac{\nu}{2N} - L_0)^{-1}$ *such that*

$$\|F(\omega,x_1) - F(\omega,x_2)\| \leq L\|x_1 - x_2\| \tag{9}$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$ *and* $x_1,x_2 \in B[Q,r] = \{x \in E \mid \rho(x,Q) \leq r\}$*, where* $Q = \gamma(\Omega)$*,* $\gamma \in C(\Omega,E)$ *is defined in* (*7*) *and* $\gamma_0 = \max\limits_{\omega\in\Omega}\|F(\omega,\gamma(\omega))\|$*. Then there exists a unique function* $u \in C(\Omega,B[Q,r])$ *such that*

$$u(\omega t) = \psi(t,u(\omega),\omega) \tag{10}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ *and* $\omega \in \Omega$*, where* $\psi(\cdot,x,\omega)$ *is the unique solution of the quasilinear equation* (*8*) *with the initial condition* $\psi(0,x,\omega) = x$*. Therefore, the graph of* u *is an invariant integral manifold for the quasilinear equation* (*8*)*.*

Proof. Let $x = y + \gamma(\omega t)$. Then from the equation (8) we obtain

$$\dot{y} = A(\omega t)y + F(\omega t, y + \gamma(\omega t)). \tag{11}$$

If $0 < r < r_0$ and $\alpha \in C(\Omega, B[Q, r])$, then the equality

$$(\Phi\alpha)(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(0, \tau, \omega) F(\omega\tau, \alpha(\omega\tau) + \gamma(\omega\tau)) d\tau \tag{12}$$

defines a function $\Phi\alpha \in C(\Omega, E)$. In virtue of Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\alpha\| &\le \frac{2N}{\nu} \max_{\omega\in\Omega} \|F(\omega, \alpha(\omega) + \gamma(\omega))\| \\ &\le \frac{2N}{\nu} \max_{\omega\in\Omega} \|F(\omega, \alpha(\omega) + \gamma(\omega)) - F(\omega, \gamma(\omega))\| + \frac{2N}{\nu} \max_{\omega\in\Omega} \|F(\omega, \gamma(\omega))\| \\ &\le \frac{2N}{\nu} L\|\alpha\| + \frac{2N}{\nu}\gamma_0 \le \frac{2N}{\nu} Lr + \frac{2N}{\nu}\gamma_0 \le \frac{2N}{\nu} L_0 r_0 + \frac{2N}{\nu}\gamma_0 = r_0 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and consequently $\Phi(C(\Omega, B[Q, r_0])) \subseteq C(\Omega, B[Q, r_0])$.

Now we will show that the mapping $\Phi : C(\Omega, B[Q, r_0]) \to C(\Omega, B[Q, r_0])$ is Lipschitzian. In fact, according to Theorem 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\alpha_1 - \Phi\alpha_2\| &\le \frac{2N}{\nu} \max_{\omega\in\Omega} \|F(\omega, \alpha_1(\omega) + \gamma(\omega)) - F(\omega, \alpha_2(\omega) + \gamma(\omega))\| \\ &\le \frac{2N}{\nu} L \max_{\omega\in\Omega} \|\alpha_1(\omega) - \alpha_2(\omega)\|. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

We note that $\frac{2N}{\nu}L \le \frac{2N}{\nu}L_0 < 1$. Thus the mapping Φ is a contraction and, consequently by Banach fixed point theorem, there exists a unique function $\alpha \in C(\Omega, B[Q, r_0])$ such that $\Phi\alpha = \alpha$. To finish the proof of the theorem it is sufficient to put $u = \gamma + \alpha$. □

We now consider the perturbed quasilinear equation

$$\dot{x} = A(\omega t)x + f(\omega t) + \varepsilon F(\omega t, x), \tag{15}$$

where $\varepsilon \in [-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0]$ ($\varepsilon_0 > 0$) is a small parameter. We have a similar theorem.

Theorem 2.6. *(Invariant integral manifold and convergence) Assume that there exist positive numbers r and L such that*

$$\|F(\omega, x_1) - F(\omega, x_2)\| \le L\|x_1 - x_2\| \tag{16}$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $x_1, x_2 \in B[Q, r]$. Then for sufficiently small ε there exists a unique function $u_\varepsilon \in C(\Omega, B[Q, r])$ such that

$$u_\varepsilon(\omega t) = \psi_\varepsilon(t, u_\varepsilon(\omega), \omega) \tag{17}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, where $\psi_\varepsilon(\cdot, x, \omega)$ is the unique solution of equation (15) with the initial condition $\psi_\varepsilon(0, x, \omega) = x$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \max_{\omega\in\Omega} \|u_\varepsilon(\omega) - \gamma(\omega)\| = 0, \tag{18}$$

where $\gamma \in C(\omega, E)$ is defined in (7).

Proof. We can prove the existence of u_ε by slight modification of the proof of Theorem 2.4.

To prove (18) we note that

$$\|F(\omega,u_{\varepsilon}(\omega)\| \le \|F(\omega,u_{\varepsilon}(\omega)-F(\omega,\gamma(\omega)\|+\|F(\omega,\gamma(\omega)\| \le Lr+\gamma_0 \tag{19}$$

and

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}(\omega)-\gamma(\omega)\| \le \| \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varepsilon G(0,\tau,\omega\tau)F(\omega\tau,u_{\varepsilon}(\omega\tau))d\tau \le |\varepsilon|\frac{2N}{\nu}(Lr+\gamma_0) \tag{20}$$

for all $\omega\in\Omega$ and $\varepsilon\in[-\varepsilon_0,\varepsilon_0]$. Passing to the limit in the inequality (20) as $\varepsilon\to 0$ we obtain (18). □

3. Generalization of second Bogolyubov's theorem for non-almost periodic systems

In this section, we consider an analog of the second Bogolyubov's theorem for the nonautonomous system

$$\dot{x}=\varepsilon f(\omega t,x), \tag{21}$$

where $\varepsilon\in[0,\varepsilon_0]$ ($\varepsilon_0>0$) is a small parameter. We do *not* assume that f is almost periodic in time t. Suppose that the averaging

$$\overline{f}(x)=\lim_{T\to+\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int\limits_{0}^{T} f(\omega t,x)dt \tag{22}$$

exists uniformly w.r.t. $\omega\in\Omega$, and also uniformly w.r.t. x on every bounded subset of E.

Remark 3.1. *The condition (22) is fulfilled if a dynamical system* $(\Omega,\mathbb{R},\sigma)$ *is strictly ergodic, i.e. on* Ω *exists a unique invariant measure* μ *w.r.t.* $(\Omega,\mathbb{R},\sigma)$.

Along with equation (21) we consider the averaged equation

$$\dot{x}=\varepsilon\overline{f}(x). \tag{23}$$

Setting slow time $\tau=\varepsilon t$ ($\varepsilon>0$), the equations (21) and (23) can be written in the following form:

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau}=f(\omega\frac{\tau}{\varepsilon},x) \tag{24}$$

and

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau}=\overline{f}(x) \tag{25}$$

respectively.

Suppose that for certain point $x_0\in E$

$$\overline{f}(x_0)=0, \tag{26}$$

then the equation (23) admits a stationary solution $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(t,x_0)\equiv x_0$.

Assume that the following conditions are fulfilled:

(i) Function $f \in C(\Omega \times B[x_0, r], E)$ where $B[x_0, r] = \{x \in E \mid \|x - x_0\| \leq r\}$ and $r > 0$, and F is bounded on $\Omega \times B[x_0, r]$. The limit (22) is uniform w.r.t. $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times B[x_0, r]$ and functions $f'_x(\omega, x)$ and $\overline{f}'(x)$ are bounded on $\Omega \times B[x_0, r]$.

(ii) Functions $f(\omega, x)$ and $\overline{f}(x)$ are twice continuously differentiable w.r.t variable $x \in B[x_0, r]$.

(iii) The equality (22) can be twice differentiated, i.e., the following equalities

$$\overline{f}^{(k)}(x) = \lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f_x^{(k)}(\omega t, x) dt \quad (k = 1, 2) \tag{27}$$

hold uniformly w.r.t $\omega \in \Omega$ and $x \in B[x_0, r]$.

We note that

$$\overline{f}(x + h) - \overline{f}(x) = \overline{f}'(x)h + R(x, h) \quad (x, x + h \in B[x_0, r]) \tag{28}$$

where $\|R(x, h)\| = o(\|h\|)$.

Let $A = \overline{f}'(x_0)$ and $B(h) = R(x_0, h)$. Then according to (26) and (28) we have

$$\overline{f}(x + h) = Ah + B(h) \tag{29}$$

It is clear (see [2, Ch.7]) that the function $B(h)$ satisfies the condition of Lipschitz

$$\|B(h_1) - B(h_2)\| \leq L(r)\|h_1 - h_2\| \tag{30}$$

$(h_1, h_2 \in B[x_0, r])$ and $L(r) \to 0$ as $r \to 0$.

The equation (21) can be rewritten in the following form

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \varepsilon Ah + \varepsilon g(\omega t, h), \tag{31}$$

where $h = x - x_0$ and

$$g(\omega, h) = f(\omega, x + h) - \overline{f}(x_0 + h) + B(h). \tag{32}$$

In the equation (32) we make the following change of variable

$$h = z - \varepsilon v(\omega, z, \varepsilon), \tag{33}$$

where

$$v(\omega, z, \varepsilon) = \int_0^{+\infty} V(\omega s, z) \exp(-\varepsilon s) ds \tag{34}$$

and

$$V(\omega, z) = f(\omega, x_0 + z) - \overline{f}(x_0 + z). \tag{35}$$

Lemma 3.2. *(*[2, p.457]*) Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Lambda \to E$ be a function satisfying the following conditions:*

1. $M := \sup\{\|\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \varphi(s, \lambda) ds\| \mid t \geq 0,\ \lambda \in \Lambda\} < +\infty.$

2. $\lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(s, \lambda) ds = 0$ *uniformly w.r.t. variable* $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Then the following equality

$$\lim_{p\to 0} p \int\limits_0^{+\infty} \varphi(s,\lambda)\exp(-ps)ds = 0$$

takes place uniformly w.r.t. $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Lemma 3.3. *The following equalities*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \varepsilon v(\omega, z, \varepsilon) = 0 \tag{36}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \varepsilon v'_z(\omega, z, \varepsilon) = 0 \tag{37}$$

are fulfilled uniformly w.r.t. $\omega \in \Omega$ *and* $z \in B[0,r]$.

Proof. This assertion follows from Lemma 3.2. In fact, in virtue of (22), (27) and (34), the bounded function $V(\omega s, z)$ and $V'_z(\omega s, z)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.2. □

From the equality (27) it follows that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ the operator $I - \varepsilon v'_z(\omega, z, \varepsilon)$ ($\omega \in \Omega$, $z \in B[0,r]$) is invertible and $(I - \varepsilon v'_z(\omega, z, \varepsilon))^{-1}$ is bounded, and, consequently, the mapping (33) is invertible. According to equation (36) in the sufficiently small neighborhood of zero and for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we can make the change of variable (33).

Note that

$$v(\omega t, z, \varepsilon) = \int\limits_0^{+\infty} V(\omega(t+s), z, \varepsilon))\exp(-\varepsilon s)ds = \exp(\varepsilon t)\int\limits_t^{+\infty} V(\omega s, z, \varepsilon))\exp(-\varepsilon s)ds$$

and we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt} v(\omega t, z, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon v(\omega t, z, \varepsilon) - V(\omega t, z, \varepsilon) \tag{38}$$

and, consequently,

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \frac{dz}{dt} - \varepsilon v'_z \frac{dz}{dt} - \varepsilon^2 v + \varepsilon V. \tag{39}$$

Using the relation (33), (35) and (39) we reduce the equation (31) to the form

$$\begin{aligned} &(I - \varepsilon v'_z(\omega t, z, \varepsilon))\frac{dz}{dt} = \varepsilon[f(\omega t, x_0 + h, \varepsilon) - f(\omega t, x_0 + z, \varepsilon)] \\ &+\varepsilon \overline{f}(x_0 + z) + \varepsilon^2 v(\omega t, z, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon(Az + B(z)) \\ &+\varepsilon^2 v(\omega t, z, \varepsilon) + \varepsilon[f(\omega t, x_0 + z - \varepsilon v, \varepsilon) - f(\omega t, x_0 + z, \varepsilon)]. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

After multiplication of the both sides of the equation (40) by $(I - \varepsilon v'_z(\omega t, z, \varepsilon))^{-1}$ and introduction of the "slow" time $\tau = \varepsilon t$ we obtain

$$\frac{dz}{d\tau} = Az + F(\omega\frac{\tau}{\varepsilon}, z, \varepsilon) \tag{41}$$

where F possesses the following properties:

a) F admits a bounded derivable $F'_z(\omega, z, \varepsilon)$ ($\omega \in \Omega$, $z \in B[0, r]$ and $\varepsilon \in [o, \varepsilon_0]$);

b) $F(\omega, z, \varepsilon) = B(z) + O(z)$ uniformly w.r.t. $\omega \in \Omega$ and $z \in B[0, r]$;

c) For every $M > 0$ and $\mu > 0$, there exists positive numbers $\varepsilon'_0 \le \varepsilon_0$ and β_0 such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon'_0$, and $\|z\| < \beta_0$, the inequalities

$$\|F(\omega, z, \varepsilon)\| \le M \tag{42}$$

and

$$\|F(\omega, z_1, \varepsilon) - F(\omega, z_2, \varepsilon)\| \le \mu\|z_1 - z_2\| \tag{43}$$

take place for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $z_1, z_2 \in B[0, r]$ and $0 \le \varepsilon \le \varepsilon'_0$.

Theorem 3.4. *(Dynamics of the transformed system) Suppose that* $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$*, where* $\sigma(A)$ *is the spectrum of the operator* $A = \overline{f}'(x_0)$*. Then*

1) *For the transformed equation (41), there exists a unique function* $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon \in C(\Omega, B[0, \beta])$ *such that*

$$\tilde{u}_\varepsilon(\omega\tau) = \psi_\varepsilon(\tau, \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(\omega), \omega) \tag{44}$$

for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$ *and* $\omega \in \Omega$*, where* $\psi_\varepsilon(\cdot, x, \omega)$ *is a unique solution of equation (41) which initial condition* $\psi_\varepsilon(0, x, \omega) = x$*;*

2)

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon(\omega)\| = 0. \tag{45}$$

Proof. This statement follows from Theorem 2.6. □

Theorem 3.5. *(Analog of the second Bogolyubov's theorem) Assume that the conditions (i) − (iii) and (26) are fulfilled and* $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$*, where* $\sigma(A)$ *is the spectrum of the operator* $A = \overline{f}'(x_0)$*. Then for sufficiently small* $r_0 > 0$*, there is* ε'_0 *with* $0 < \varepsilon'_0 \le \varepsilon_0$ *such that for* $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon'_0$*, there exists a unique function* $u_\varepsilon \in C(\Omega, B[x_0, r])$ *such that*

$$u_\varepsilon(\omega t) = \psi_\varepsilon(t, u_\varepsilon(\omega), \omega) \tag{46}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ *and* $\omega \in \Omega$ *and*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \|u_\varepsilon(\omega) - x_0\| = 0, \tag{47}$$

where $\psi_\varepsilon(\cdot, x, \omega)$ *is the unique solution of the nonautonomous equation (21) with initial condition* $\psi_\varepsilon(0, x, \omega) = x$*, and* x_0 *is a stationary solution of the averaged equation (23). Note that the graph of* u_ε *is an invariant integral manifold for the nonautonomous equation (21).*

Proof. Under the conditions of the theorem and in virtue of Theorem 3.4 for the equation (41), there exists a unique function $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon \in C(\Omega, B[0, r_0])$ with the properties (44) and (45). Denote by

$$u_\varepsilon(\omega) = x_0 + \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(\omega) - \varepsilon v(\omega, \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(\omega), \omega). \tag{48}$$

Then from the equalities (34), (35), (43) and (47), we obtain the equality (48) and the continuity of $u_\varepsilon : \Omega \to E$. Consequently, we have, $u_\varepsilon \in C(\Omega, B[x_0, r])$ for sufficient small $\varepsilon > 0$. The equality (46) follows from the equalities (44) and (48). The theorem is thus proved. □

4. Almost periodic and recurrent solutions

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}_+$, $(X, \mathbb{T}, \pi)$ be a dynamical system, $x \in X$, τ, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}$, $\tau > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$. We denote $\pi(x,t)$ by a short-hand notation xt.

The point x is called a stationary point if $xt = x$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. The point x is called τ-periodic if $x\tau = x$.

The number τ is called ε-shift (ε-almost period) of a point x if $\rho(x\tau, x) < \varepsilon$ ($\rho(x(t+\tau), xt) < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$).

The point x is called almost recurrent (almost periodic) if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists positive number l such that on every segment of length l can be found a ε-shift (ε-almost period) of the point x.

A point x is called recurrent if it is almost recurrent and the set $H(x) = \overline{\{xt \mid t \in \mathbb{T}\}}$ is compact.

Denote by $\mathfrak{M}_x = \{\{t_n\} \mid \{xt_n\}$ is *convergent*$\}$.

Theorem 4.1. ([4], [5]) *Let $(X, \mathbb{T}_1, \pi)$ and $(Y, \mathbb{T}_2, \sigma)$ be dynamical systems with $\mathbb{T}_1 \subset \mathbb{T}_2$. Assume that $h : X \to Y$ is a homomorphism from $(X, \mathbb{T}_1, \pi)$ onto $(Y, \mathbb{T}_2, \sigma)$. If the point $x \in X$ is stationary (τ-periodic, quasi-periodic, almost periodic, recurrent), then the point $h(x) = y$ is also stationary (τ-periodic, quasi-periodic, almost periodic, recurrent) and $\mathfrak{M}_x \subset \mathfrak{M}_y$.*

Consider the following nonautonomous equation in Banach space E

$$w' = f(\omega t, w) \tag{49}$$

where $f \in C(\Omega \times E, E)$. Suppose that the function f is regular, i.e., for all $w \in E$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, the equation (49) admits a unique solution $\varphi(t, w, \omega)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with the initial condition $\varphi(0, w, \omega) = w$ and the mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times E \times \Omega \to E$ is continuous.

It is well-known (see, for example, [6]) that the mapping φ satisfies the following conditions:

a. $\varphi(0, w, \omega) = w$ for all $w \in E$ and $\omega \in \Omega$;

b. $\varphi(t + \tau, w, \omega) = \varphi(t, \varphi(\tau, w, \omega), \omega\tau)$ for all $t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}_1$, $w \in E$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

The solution $\varphi(t, w, \omega)$ of the equation (49) is said to be stationary (τ-periodic, almost periodic, recurrent) if the point $x := (w, \omega) \in X := E \times \Omega$ is stationary (τ-periodic, almost periodic, recurrent) point of the skew-product dynamical system $(X, \mathbb{R}_+, \pi)$, where $\pi = (\varphi, \sigma)$, i.e. $\pi(t, (w, \omega)) = (\varphi(t, w, \omega), \omega t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $(w, \omega) \in E \times \Omega$.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $u \in C(\Omega, E)$ satisfies the condition*

$$u(\omega t) = \varphi(t, u(\omega), \omega) \tag{50}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. Then the mapping $h : \Omega \to X$ defined by

$$h(\omega) = (u(\omega), \omega) \tag{51}$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$ is a homomorphism from $(\Omega, \mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ onto $(X, \mathbb{R}_+, \pi)$.

Proof. This assertion follows from the equalities (50) and (51) □

Remark 4.3. *The function $u \in C(\Omega, E)$ with the property (50) is called continuous invariant section (or integral manifold) for non autonomous system (49).*

Theorem 4.4. *If the function $u \in C(\Omega, E)$ satisfies the condition (50) and the point $\omega \in \Omega$ is stationary (τ-periodic, almost periodic, recurrent), then the solution $\varphi(t, u(\omega), \omega)$ of the equation (49) also will be stationary (τ-periodic, almost periodic, recurrent).*

Proof. This statement follows from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. □

Example 4.5. Consider the equation

$$u' = f(t, u) \tag{52}$$

where $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times E, E)$; here $C(\mathbb{R} \times E, E)$ is the space of all continuous function $\mathbb{R} \times E \to E$) equipped with compact-open topology. Along with the equation (52), we will consider the H-class of equation (52)

$$u' = g(t, u) \quad (g \in H(f)), \tag{53}$$

where $H(f) = \overline{\{f_\tau \mid \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}}$ and the over bar denotes the closure in $C(\mathbb{R} \times E, E)$ and $f_\tau(t, u) = f(t + \tau, u)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in E$. Denote by $(C(\mathbb{R} \times E, E), \mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ the Bebutov's dynamical system (see, for example, [4], [5], [6]). Here $\sigma(t, g) = g_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in C(\mathbb{R} \times E, E)$.

The function $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times E, E)$ is called regular (see [6]) if for all $u \in E$ and $g \in H(f)$ the equation (53) admits a unique solution $\varphi(t, u, g)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with the initial condition $\varphi(0, u, g) = u$.

Let Ω be the hull $H(f)$ of a given regular function $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times E, E)$ and denote the restriction of $(C(\mathbb{R} \times E, E), \mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ on Ω by $(\Omega, \mathbb{R}, \sigma)$. Let $F : \Omega \times E \to E$ be a continuous mapping defined by $F(g, u) = g(0, u)$ for $g \in \Omega$ and $u \in E$. Then the equation (53) can be written in such form:

$$u' = F(\omega t, u), \tag{54}$$

where $\omega = g$ and $\omega t = g_t$.

Lemma 4.6. *The following two conditions are equivalent.*

1) *There exists a limit*

$$f_0(x) = \lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_t^{t+T} f(s, x) ds \tag{55}$$

uniformly w.r.t. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and x on every compact set $K \subset E$.

2) *There exists a limit*

$$f_0(x) = \lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^{T} g(s, x) ds \tag{56}$$

uniformly w.r.t. $g \in H(f)$ and x on every compact set $K \subset E$.

Proof. The equality (55) follows from (56) because $f_t \in H(f)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T f_t(s,x)ds = \lim_{T\to+\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_t^{t+T} f(s,x)ds.$$

Let $g \in H(f)$, then there exists a sequence $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $g = \lim\limits_{n\to+\infty} f_{t_n}$. From the equality (55), it follows that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and compact set $K \subset E$, there exists $L(\varepsilon, K) > 0$ such that

$$\|\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T f_{t_n}(s,x)ds - f_0(x)\| < \varepsilon \tag{57}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T \geq L(\varepsilon, K)$. Passing to the limit in the equality (57) as $n \to +\infty$ we obtain the equality (56). □

Theorem 4.7. *(Recurrent solutions) Suppose that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

1) *$f \in C(\mathbb{R}\times E, E)$ and there exist $x_0 \in E$ and $r > 0$ such that the function f is bounded on $\mathbb{R}\times B[x_0,r]$, i.e., there exists positive number M such that*
$$\|f(t,x)\| \leq M \tag{58}$$
for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in B[x_0,r]$.
2) *The functions $f \in C(\mathbb{R}\times E, E)$ and $f_0 \in C(E,E)$ are twice continuously differentiable w.r.t. variable $x \in B[x_0,r]$. Moreover, the function $f'_x(t,x)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}\times B[x_0,r]$, and $f'_0(x)$ is bounded on $B[x_0,r]$.*
3) *The equality (55) can be twice differentiated, i.e. the following equalities*
$$f_0^{(k)}(x) = \lim_{T\to+\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_t^{t+T} f_x^{(k)}(s,x)ds \quad (k=1,2) \tag{59}$$
take place, uniformly w.r.t. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in B[x_0,r]$.
4) *$f_0(x_0) = 0$ and $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$, where $A = f'_0(x_0)$ and $\sigma(A)$ is the spectrum of operator A.*
5) *The function $f \in C(\mathbb{R}\times E, E)$ is stationary (τ-periodic, almost periodic, recurrent) w.r.t. $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and uniformly w.r.t. to x on every compact subset $K \subset E$.*

Then for sufficiently small $r_0 > 0$, there exists $0 < \varepsilon'_0 \leq \varepsilon_0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon'_0$ the equation

$$x' = \varepsilon f(t,x) \tag{60}$$

admits a unique stationary (τ-periodic, almost periodic, recurrent) solution $\varphi^{\varepsilon}(t)$ with the following properties:

a) *$\|\varphi^{\varepsilon}(t) - x_0\| \leq r_0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*
b) *$\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to 0}\sup\limits_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|\varphi^{\varepsilon}(t) - x_0\| = 0$.*
c) *$\mathfrak{M}_f \subset \mathfrak{M}_{\varphi^{\varepsilon}}$, where $\mathfrak{M}_f = \{\{t_n\} \mid \{f_{t_n}\}$ is convergent on $C(\mathbb{R}\times E, E)\}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\varphi^{\varepsilon}} = \{\{t_n\} \mid \{\varphi^{\varepsilon}_{t_n}\}$ is convergent on $C(\mathbb{R}, E)\}$.*

Proof. Note that the conditions 1) – 4) imply conditions (i) – (iii). So the proof of the theorem follows from Theorems 3.5, 4.1, 4.4 and Lemma 4.6. □

Remark 4.8. *Note that Theorem 4.7 is also true for the equation (60) with non recurrent function f. For example, if f is pseudo recurrent ([4, 5]), i.e., if $H(f)$ is compact and every function $g \in H(f)$ is stable in the sense of Poisson. In this case we can affirm that the solution φ^{ε} will be also pseudo recurrent. See also Section 6 later in this paper.*

5. INVARIANT TORUS AND QUASI-PERIODIC SOLUTIONS

Let $\mathcal{T}^m$ be an m-dimensional torus. We consider a nonautonomous dynamical system in Banach space E, with a driving system defined on the torus $\mathcal{T}^m$:

$$\begin{cases} x' = A(\omega)x + f(\omega) + F(\omega, x) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad \omega' = \Phi(\omega), \end{cases} \tag{61}$$

where $\Phi \in C(\mathcal{T}^m, T\mathcal{T}^m)$, $T\mathcal{T}^m$ is a tangent space of the torus $\mathcal{T}^m$, $f \in C(\mathcal{T}^m, E)$, $A \in C(\Omega, L(E))$ and $F \in C(\mathcal{T}^m \times E, E)$.

We suppose that the second equation of the system (61) generates an autonomous dynamical system $(\mathcal{T}^m, \mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ on the torus $\mathcal{T}^m$ and the equation

$$x' = A(\omega t)x + f(\omega t) + F(\omega t, x) \tag{62}$$

admits a unique solution $\varphi(t, x, \omega)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and satisfying the initial condition $\varphi(0, x, \omega) = x$.

A function $\gamma \in C(\mathcal{T}^m, E)$ is called [3] an m-dimensional invariant torus of equation (62) (or system (61)) if

$$\gamma(\omega t) = \varphi(t, \gamma(\omega), \omega) \tag{63}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{T}^m$.

Applying the results from sections 2 – 4 , we have the following tests of existence of the invariant torus for equation (62).

Theorem 5.1. *(Invariant torus) Suppose that the equation (4) is hyperbolic and there exist positives numbers $0 < L < L_0 := \frac{\nu}{2N}$ and $0 < r < r_0 := \nu_0(\frac{\nu}{2N} - L_0)^{-1}$ such that the function $F \in C(\mathcal{T}^m \times E, E)$ satisfies the condition (9). Then the equation (62) admits an m-dimensional invariant torus.*

Theorem 5.2. *(Invariant torus for perturbed system) Suppose that there exist positives numbers r and L such that the condition (16) is fulfilled. Then for sufficient small $\varepsilon \geq 0$ there exists an m-dimensional invariant torus u_ε for the perturbed equation*

$$x' = A(\omega t)x + f(\omega t) + \varepsilon F(\omega t, x) \quad (\omega \in \mathcal{T}^m) \tag{64}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \|u_\varepsilon(\omega) - u_0(\omega)\| = 0.$$

Theorem 5.3. *(Unique invariant torus) Let* $\Omega = \mathcal{T}^m$. *Assume the conditions of Theorem 3.5 are satisfied. Then, for a sufficient small* $r_0 > 0$, *there exists* $0 < \varepsilon_0' < \varepsilon_0$ *such that for all* $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0'$ *there exists a unique* m*-dimensional invariant torus* u_ε *for the equation (61) and*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \|u_\varepsilon(\omega) - x_0\| = 0.$$

We have the following corollary for quasi-periodic nonautonomous dynamical systems, i.e., the driving system defined on the torus $\mathcal{T}^m$ is quasi-periodic in time.

Corollary 5.4. *(Compact minimal invariant torus) Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 5.1 (respectively Theorem 5.2 or Theorem 5.3) are fulfilled and the dynamical system* $(\mathcal{T}^m, \mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ *generated by the second equation of the system (61) is compact minimal and contains only quasi-periodic motions, then the equation (62) (respectively the equation (64) or the equation (21)) admits an* m*-dimensional invariant torus* u_ε *which is compact minimal and contains only quasi-periodic motions.*

6. Pseudo recurrent solutions

An autonomous dynamical system $(\Omega, \mathbb{T}, \sigma)$ is said to be pseudo recurrent if the following conditions are fulfilled:

a) Ω is compact;
b) $(\Omega, \mathbb{T}, \sigma)$ is transitive, i.e. there exists a point $\omega_0 \in \Omega$ such that $\Omega = \overline{\{\omega_0 t \mid t \in \mathbb{T}\}}$;
c) every point $\omega \in \Omega$ is stable in the sense of Poisson, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{N}_\omega = \{\{t_n\} \mid \omega t_n \to \omega \text{ and } |t_n| \to +\infty\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $<(X, \mathbb{T}_1, \pi), (\Omega, \mathbb{T}_2, \sigma), h>$ *be a nonautonomous dynamical system and the following conditions are fulfilled:*

1) $(\Omega, \mathbb{T}_2, \sigma)$ *is pseudo recurrent;*
2) $\gamma \in C(\Omega, X)$ *is an invariant section of the homomorphism* $h : X \to \Omega$.

Then the autonomous dynamical system $(\gamma(\Omega), \mathbb{T}_2, \pi)$ *is pseudo recurrent.*

Proof. It is evident that the space $\gamma(\Omega)$ is compact, because Ω is compact and $\gamma \in C(\Omega, X)$. We note that on the space $\gamma(\Omega)$, by the homomorphism $\gamma : \Omega \to \gamma(\Omega)$, we have a dynamical system $(\gamma(\Omega), \mathbb{T}_2, \widehat{\pi})$, namely $\widehat{\pi}^t\gamma(\omega) := \gamma(\omega t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_2$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, then $\widehat{\pi}^t\gamma(\omega) = \pi^t\gamma(\omega)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{T}_2$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. Now we will show that $\gamma(\Omega) = \overline{\{\gamma(\omega_0)t \mid t \in \mathbb{T}_2\}}$. In fact, let $x \in \gamma(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique point $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $x = \gamma(\omega)$. Let $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{T}_2$ be a sequence such that $\omega_0 t_n \to \omega$. Then $x = \gamma(\omega) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \gamma(\omega_0 t_n) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \gamma(\omega) t_n)$ and, consequently, $\gamma(\Omega) \subset \overline{\{\gamma(\omega_0)t \mid t \in \mathbb{T}_2\}}$. The inverse inclusion is trivial. Hence, $\gamma(\Omega) = \overline{\{\gamma(\omega_0)t \mid t \in \mathbb{T}_2\}}$. To finish the proof of the lemma it is sufficient to note that $\mathfrak{N}_\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_{\gamma(\omega}$ for every point $\omega \in \Omega$ and, consequently, every point $\gamma(\omega)$ is Poisson stable. The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 6.1 implies that the conditions of Theorem 5.1 (respectively Th. 5.2 or Th. 5.3) are satisfied. Therefore, we have the following result.

Theorem 6.2. *(Pseudo recurrent integral manifold) Assume the driving dynamical system* $(\Omega, \mathbb{T}, \sigma)$ *is pseudo recurrent , and assume the conditions in Lemma 6.1 are satisfied. Then the equation (62) (respectively, the equation (64) or the equation (21)) admits a pseudo recurrent integral manifold.*

Acknowledgment: The research described in this publication was made possible in part by Award No. MM1-3016 of the Moldovan Research and Development Association (MRDA) and the U.S. Civilian Research & Development Foundation for the Independent States of the Former Soviet Union (CRDF). This paper was written while the first author was visiting Illinois Institute of Technology (Department of Applied Mathematics) in Spring of 2002. He would like to thank people in that institution for their very kind hospitality.

References

[1] Bogolyubov N. N.and Mitropplsky Y. A., *Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Oscillations*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1961.
[2] Daleckij J.L. and Krein M.G., Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1974.
[3] Samoilenko A. M. Elements of the Theory of multi-frequency oscillations, M.: Nauka, 1987
[4] Scherbakov B. A., *Topological Dynamics and Poisson's Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations*. Kishinev, Shtiintsa, 1972. (in Russian)
[5] Scherbakov B. A., *Poisson's Stability of Motions of Dynamical Systems and Solutions of Differential Equations*. Kishinev, Shtiintsa, 1985. (in Russian)
[6] Sell G. R., *Topological Dynamics and Ordinary Differential Equations.* Van Nostrand-Reinhold, London, 1971.

(D. Cheban) State University of Moldova, Department of Mathematics and Informtics, A. Mateevich Street 60, MD–2009 Chişinău, Moldova

E-mail address, D. Cheban: `cheban@usm.md`

(J. Duan) Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL 60616, USA

E-mail address, J. Duan: `duan@iit.edu`

(A. Gherco) State University of Moldova, Department of Mathematics and Informtics, A. Mateevich Street 60, MD–2009 Chişinău, Moldova

E-mail address, A. Gherco: `gerko@usm.md`